

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,665 07/31/2001		31/2001	Raffi Codilian,	K35A0863	6760
26332	7590	07/12/2004		EXAMI	NER
	N DIGITAL E FOREST I	SNIEZEK, A	SNIEZEK, ANDREW L		
	ELLECTUA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LAKE FOR	EST, CA 9	2630	2651		
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	A	4					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/920,665	CODILIAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew L. Sniezek	2651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON.	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	ay 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5,7,8 and 10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4, 6 and 9 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	· ·						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/920,665

Art Unit: 2651

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on 5/3/04. The examiner approves these drawings.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Szita et al. (US 2001/0040752 A1).

The body of this rejected is incorporated herein from paragraph 4 of the previous office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action mailed 1/30/04.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szita et al.

The body of this rejection is incorporated herein from paragraph 7 of the previous office action mailed 1/30/04.

6. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szita et al. in view of Nunnelley (US 2003/0133217 A1) and Sacks et al. (6,538,838 B1).

The body of this rejection is incorporated herein from paragraph 8 of the previous office action mailed 1/30/04.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/920,665

Art Unit: 2651

7. Claims 4, 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 5/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Szita uses reader/writer offset to determine where to record the new track and not having a track pitch determined by a measured width of a read element and a measured width of a write element. Contrary to applicants remarks it is clear from the method as shown in figure 8 and particularly operation (804), the width of the read element and the width of the write element are determined before the determination of the track pitch (distance between tracks), steps (805-806). Although the exact words used to describe the operation of determining track pitch might not correspond in a one to one relationship between the claimed invention and the applied art as applicant is pointing out, read and write widths are determined and as it is clear from an overall reading of the teaching of Szita et al., track pitches are directly related to the alignment of read elements with respect to given tracks having a defined width, with these track widths having a direct relationship with respect to read/write head widths.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within



Art Unit: 2651

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 703-308-1602. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-305-4700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew L. Sniezek Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2651

A.L.S. 7/10/04